

Expired Applications Questions

Kelly Neil <kelly.neil@rseg.com>

Aug 6, 2019 3:51 PM

Posted in group: **WOGCC Rules**

Good afternoon,

My name is Kelly Neil and I phoned in during the presentation back on July 30. I have a couple of questions for clarification.

I believe I'm getting confused on when operators file the applications and expiry dates.

I have in my notes 2 very important days; one at the 15 day mark and another at the 2 year mark and I'm hoping you can clarify them for me. My understanding is once a company submits a FTF (First To File) do they have 15 days to file the APD? Then have 2 years to drill a well?

The presenter also mentioned there is a list of wells that went past expiry dates that are available online. Could you point me in the direction of where I can find this list of wells?

I found the presentation very helpful and appreciate the work and thoughtfulness in having this presentation.

Thank you!

Regards,

Kelly

Kelly Neil

Data Associate



585 8th Avenue SW | Suite 1400 | Calgary, AB T2P 1G1

P | 403-536-4983

Kelly.Neil@rseg.com | www.rseg.com

This e-mail is intended only for the person to whom it is addressed (the "addressee") and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use that a person other than the addressee makes of this communication is prohibited and any reliance or decisions made based on it, are the responsibility of such person. We accept no responsibility for any loss or damages suffered by any person other than the addressee as a result of decisions made or actions taken based on this communication or otherwise. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this e-mail.

Permitting Rule Change Comment

Thomas Shanor <TShanor@shanorlawoffice.com>

Aug 1, 2019 10:04 AM

Posted in group: **WOGCC Rules**

Dear Commissioners:

Our office represents mineral owners in the Powder River Basin, and because of that have been asked to monitor the proposed rule change for permitting horizontal oil and gas wells in the State. The main issue that mineral owners have with the current rule is that once an operator obtains a permit the value of the underlying minerals are drastically reduced. No other operator is willing to take a lease if they cannot have the ability to drill a well. I believe the proposed rule seeks to change this situation, but I also believe an additional provision needs to be added.

This provision would deal with "extraordinary circumstances." This definition could include many things, but of the most importance would be a situation where an operator would lose a lease if a well wasn't drilled. In that situation, it seems to us that an operator should have the ability to file a petition in order to force a well to be drilled. The operator with the permit could either elect to drill the well, or choose not to. In the case of electing not to drill the well, then the petitioner would be granted the permit, and have the ability to drill the well in order to preserve their lease.

If such language is added, then I sincerely believe that most mineral owners would be satisfied. It would once again give the mineral owner some bargaining power when leasing their acreage, but it would also provide an avenue for having additional wells drilled, which in the end, benefits everyone.

I have intentionally kept this comment short, as I assume you have many to read through, but should you have any questions or would like to discuss this further, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Thomas W. Shanor

Attorney at Law

Shanor Law Office PC

123 W. 1st Street, Suite 620

Casper, WY 82601

(307) 266-2949

.***E-mail Disclaimer***

The information contained in this email, and in any accompanying documents, may constitute confidential and/or legally privileged information. The information is intended only for use by the designated recipient. If you are not the intended recipient (or responsible for delivery of the message to the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or other use of, or taking any action in reliance on this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the message from your system.

Support for rule amend

Stephen Mancuso <stephen.mancuso@protonmail.com>

Jul 29, 2019 12:25 PM

Posted in group: **WOGCC Rules**

Dear Mr. Watson,
I hope that your work week is going well.

- ***I fully support the proposed rule change***

Thank you

Sincerely,
Stephen Mancuso

Wellsite Geologist
B.S. Petroleum Engineering
University of Wyoming

P.O. Box 778
Laramie, Wyoming-82070

(406) 861-5760

Sent with ProtonMail Secure Email.

Comment on Proposed rule change

Barbara Stafford <barbara.stafford@task-global.com>

Jul 29, 2019 10:57 AM

Posted in group: **WOGCC Rules**

We stand in support of the proposal to adopt, update, and amend procedural rules found in Chapters 1 and 3. The original intent was not to allow companies like Anadarko to fence out their competition. As the rules stand this is detrimental to the State and property owners in Wyoming.

Barbara and Bill Stafford
467 CS Road
Chugwater, WY 82210